Modern diagnostics for the large-scale circulation: from transformed eulerian mean (TEM) to thickness weighted average (TWA)

Paola Cessi

SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

With help from Spencer Jones, Christopher Wolfe, WR Young and Vallis's book (2nd ed.)





Historical puzzle in meteorology

Atmosphere Dec-Feb



The thermally indirect cells (Ferrel) disappear in isentropic coordinates

Two different measures of zonally averaged transport

Potential temperature transport

 $\partial_t \bar{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \overline{\rho \mathbf{v}} = \text{Diabatic terms}$

Volume transport

 $\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} = 0$



Temperature, salinity, humidity, CO2 transports are also interesting (Potential) temperature is special: it is stably stratified, a good vertical coordinate

The Eulerian flow indicates equatorward heat transport in mid-latitudes In isentropic coordinates there is poleward transport

Maintenance of the Eulerian Ferrell cell

The zonally average \overline{v} is ageostrophic

$$-f\overline{v} = -\frac{1}{\cos^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} (\cos^2 \vartheta \overline{u'v'}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$$

$$\overline{w} = \frac{1}{N^2} \left[Q_b - \frac{1}{\cos \vartheta} \frac{\partial (\overline{v'b'} \cos \vartheta)}{\partial y} \right]$$

 $\bar{p}_x = 0$

 \bar{u} -momentum balance: driven by convergence of eddy momentum flux or friction

Buoyancy balance: driven by convergence of eddy buoyancy flux or diabatic terms





Is the net heat transport equatorward or poleward?



We need to look at the PV fluxes

Look at the QGPV

In QG we have two variables, linearly related

$$\psi$$
 and $q \equiv \nabla^2 \psi + f^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial_z \psi}{N^2} \right)$

We want to know the average, large-scale, slow-time evolution of $\,\psi\,\,{
m and}\,\,ar q$

$$\partial_t \bar{q} + J(\bar{\psi}, \bar{q}) = -\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}' q'} + curl\bar{F}$$

+ boundary conditions:

$$f\left[\partial_t \bar{\psi}_z + J(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}_z)\right] + N^2 \bar{w} = -\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}' \psi'_z} + \bar{S} \text{ at } z = 0, H$$

We need to know $\ \overline{\psi}, \ \overline{\mathbf{u}'q'} \ \mathrm{and} \ \overline{\mathbf{u}'b'}$ on boundaries

In general $v'q' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(u'v') + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{f_0}{N^2}v'b'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left((v'^2 - u'^2) - \frac{b'^2}{N^2}\right).$

 \overline{v}

$$\overline{'q'} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\overline{u'v'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{f_0}{N^2}\overline{v'b'}\right).$$



Propagation and breaking of EP fluxes with momentum deposition and jet acceleration



The transformed eulerian mean - TEM (QG)

Remember:
$$\overline{v'q'} = \nabla_x \cdot \mathcal{F}$$
, with: $\mathcal{F} \equiv -\overline{u'v'}\mathbf{j} + \frac{f_0}{N^2}\overline{v'b'}\mathbf{k}$ $\nabla_x \cdot \equiv (\partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z) \cdot$





The residual circulation is more representative of tracer transport than Eulerian flow

Summary so far

The apparent equatorward heat transport by the Ferrel cells is resolved by including eddy-transport of (potential) temperature.

The momentum eddy-transport and form-stress maintain the Eulerian Ferrel cells.

TEM accounts for these processes in a simple QG framework: a breakthrough.

In QG, isentropes (potential density or potential temperature) are horizontal, so there is little difference between diabatic and vertical transport.

In general isentropes are not horizontal, so TEM needs to be generalized for usage in the primitive equations.

Example I:2D Steady Flow



Buoyancy equation:

 $b_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla b = \mathcal{D}$

For 2D steady flow: $vb_y + wb_z = \mathcal{D}$ $v_y + w_z = 0$ $\psi_z = -v$ $\psi_y = w$

b is advected by ψ and dissipated by ${\mathcal D}$:

where
$$J(\psi,b) = rac{\partial \left(\psi,b
ight)}{\partial \left(y,z
ight)} = \psi_y b_z - \psi_z b_y$$

Use buoyancy's vertical coordinate:

$$\frac{\partial(\psi, b)}{\partial(y, z)} = \frac{\partial(\psi, b)}{\partial(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})} \frac{\partial(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})}{\partial(y, z)} = b_z \psi_{\tilde{y}} \qquad \tilde{y} \to \text{at constant } b$$

$$\psi_{\tilde{y}} = \sigma \mathcal{D} \qquad \sigma = b_z^{-1}$$

The mean flow advects the mean buoyancy and the diapycnal velocity balances diabatic sources and sinks

 $J(\psi, b) = \mathcal{D}$

Example II: 3D Steady Flow in oceanic box



- Idealized single basin +ACC, forced at surface
- Half-sized basin in a notched-box
- Coarse resolution (100 km), hydrostatic MITgcm
- No salt: buoyancy linearly related to temperature
- GM eddy parameterization
- Explicit mixing only in surface layer ~50 m deep





The mean flow does not advect the mean buoyancy:

$$\overline{\psi_{\tilde{y}}}^z \neq \overline{\sigma}^z \overline{\mathcal{D}}^z \qquad \qquad \tilde{y} \to \text{at constant } \overline{b}$$

A thermally indirect cell in the periodic portion of the domain: Deacon cell equivalent to Ferrell cell in atmosphere.

Residual Streamfunction (3D steady)

Begin in buoyancy coordinates: 3-D variation:

$$(\sigma u)_{\tilde{x}} + (\sigma v)_{\tilde{y}} + (\sigma \mathcal{D})_{\tilde{b}} = 0 \qquad \sigma = b_z^{-1}$$

Zonally average at constant *b*:

$$\overline{(\sigma v)}_{\tilde{y}} + \overline{(\sigma \mathcal{D})}_{\tilde{b}} = 0$$

Define the residual streamfunction:

$$\psi_{\tilde{b}}^{\dagger} = -\overline{(\sigma v)} = -\bar{\sigma}\hat{v} \qquad \psi_{\tilde{y}}^{\dagger} = \overline{(\sigma \mathcal{D})} = \bar{\sigma}\hat{\varpi} \overset{\tilde{v}}{\underbrace{\exists}}_{\tilde{w}}$$

Decompose into mean and eddy components:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\dagger} &= \overline{\psi} + \psi^{*} \\ \overline{\psi}_{\tilde{b}} &= -\overline{\sigma}\overline{v} \qquad \psi^{*}_{\tilde{b}} = -\overline{\sigma'v'} \end{split}$$

Mean isopycnal height:

$$\overline{z}_{\tilde{b}} = \overline{\sigma}$$



Calculation in level coordinates (3D steady)

Easier to calculate in level coordinates:

$$\psi^{\dagger}(\tilde{y},\tilde{b}) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{b}} \overline{\sigma v} \, \mathrm{d}b = -\overline{\int_{-H}^{\zeta} v \, \mathrm{d}z} = -\int_{-H}^{0} \overline{v \mathcal{H}\left[\tilde{b} - b(x,y,z)\right]} \, \mathrm{d}z$$

where ζ satisfies $\tilde{b} = b\left(x, y, \zeta(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{b})\right)$ Heaviside function

Do the same with the mean streamfunction:

$$\bar{\psi}(\tilde{y},\tilde{b}) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{b}} \bar{\sigma}\bar{v}\,\mathrm{d}b = -\int_{-H}^{0} \bar{v}\,\overline{\mathcal{H}\left[\tilde{b} - b(x,y,z)\right]}\,\mathrm{d}z$$

Note:
$$\bar{\sigma} = \overline{b_z^{-1}} \neq \bar{b}_z^{-1}$$
 so $\overline{\psi}^z(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b}) = -\int_{-H}^0 \bar{v} \mathcal{H}\left[\tilde{b} - \bar{b}\right] \mathrm{d}z \neq \bar{\psi}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})$



Definition of the Mean (3D steady)



Thus, $\overline{\psi}$ is not simply a remapping of $\overline{\psi}^z$

Example III: 3D unsteady flow



- Idealized single basin +ACC forced at surface
- Half-sized basin in a notched-box
- High resolution (5.4 km), hydrostatic MITgcm
- No salt: buoyancy linearly related to temperature
- No eddy or mixed layer parameterizations
- $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$





3D unsteady flow











TEM beyond QG: Thickness Weighted Average

The stacked primitive equations: average in buoyancy coordinates over quasi-adiabatic eddies

The TWA equations:

can be presented in any coordinate system (buoyancy or spatial)

Stacked:
$$b_z \neq 0$$

The "thickness" is:
$$\sigma = \frac{1}{b_z}$$

The transport velocity a.k.a. the residual velocity is:

 $\hat{u} = \frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\overline{a}}$

The (unweighted) mean velocity is:

 \overline{u}

Young, W.R., 2012. An exact thickness-weighted average formulation of the Boussinesq equations. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(5), pp.692-707.

The TWA equations in buoyancy coordinates

 $\hat{u}_{\tilde{t}}$

 $\hat{v}_{\tilde{t}}$

(1) Only the residual velocity appears.

(2) All tracers - including momentum - are advected by the residual velocity.

(3) Eddy effects are confined to the momentum equations, and appear in EP vectors.

(4) EP vectors are quadratic in eddy amplitude.

(5) EP divergences are expressed in terms of the eddy-flux of PV. There is a fully 3D and nonlinear generalization of Taylor's identity connection EP to PV.

(6) This is not the most general formulation, but it is probably the most useful because buoyancy is the best stacked tracer.

$$\sigma \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \zeta_{\tilde{b}} \qquad \sigma = \frac{1}{b_z} \text{ Thickness}$$

$$+ \hat{\varpi}\hat{u}_{\tilde{b}} - \overline{\sigma}\hat{v}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{x}} = \hat{\mathcal{X}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{u}$$

$$+ \hat{\varpi}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} - \overline{\sigma}\hat{u}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{v}$$

$$\overline{\zeta} + \overline{m}_{\tilde{b}} = 0$$

$$\overline{\sigma}_{\tilde{t}} + (\overline{\sigma u})_{\tilde{x}} + (\overline{\sigma v})_{\tilde{y}} + (\overline{\varpi}\sigma)_{\tilde{b}} = 0.$$

diabatic effects

EP vectors

$$\Pi^{\sharp} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f + \hat{v}_{\tilde{x}} - \hat{u}_{\tilde{y}}}{\overline{\sigma}} \qquad \text{Ertel's PV}$$

The residual $\hat{u} = \frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\overline{\sigma}}$ velocity is:

$$\mathbf{E}^{u} = \widehat{u''u''} \mathbf{\overline{e}}_{1} + \widehat{u''v''} \mathbf{\overline{e}}_{2} + \overline{\sigma}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\zeta'^{2}} \mathbf{\overline{e}}_{1} + \overline{\zeta'm_{\tilde{x}}'} \mathbf{\overline{e}}_{3} \right)$$
$$u = \widehat{u} + u'' \qquad \zeta = \overline{\zeta} + \zeta'$$

Div, grad, curl

$$\boldsymbol{q} = q^{1}\boldsymbol{e}_{1} + q^{2}\boldsymbol{e}_{2} + q^{3}\boldsymbol{e}_{3}$$
$$\sigma\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{q} = (\sigma q^{1})_{\tilde{x}} + (\sigma q^{2})_{\tilde{y}} + (\sigma q^{3})_{\tilde{b}}$$

$$e_{3}$$

$$e_{2}$$

$$e_{1} = i + z_{x}k$$

$$e_{2} = j + z_{y}k$$

$$e_{3} = \sigma k$$

$$\nabla f = f_{\tilde{x}} \mathbf{e}^1 + f_{\tilde{y}} \mathbf{e}^2 + f_{\tilde{b}} \mathbf{e}^3$$

Dual basis vectors

Buoyancy coordinates are so close to cartesian coordinates that one is tempted to wing it. But buoyancy coordinates are not orthogonal...

 $\boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}^j = \delta_{ij}$

$$\boldsymbol{q} = q_1 \boldsymbol{e}^1 + q_2 \boldsymbol{e}^2 + q_3 \boldsymbol{e}^3$$

$$\sigma \nabla \times \boldsymbol{q} = (q_{3\tilde{y}} - q_{2\tilde{b}})\boldsymbol{e}_1 \\ + (q_{1\tilde{b}} - q_{3\tilde{x}})\boldsymbol{e}_2 \\ + (q_{2\tilde{x}} - q_{1\tilde{y}})\boldsymbol{e}_3$$



Overturning circulations in vertical and density coordinates

Southern-ocean

Eulerian zonally averaged meridional mass transport



Zonal average in density coordinates, remapped in height



The thermally indirect cell (Deacon cell) disappear in density coordinates

Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. (1997), 123, pp. 519–526

Similarities of the Deacon cell in the Southern Ocean and Ferrel cells in the atmosphere

By D. J. KAROLY^{1*}, P. C. McINTOSH², P. BERRISFORD³, T. J. McDOUGALL² and A. C. HIRST²

The ACC velocity (FESOM model)



A massive westward current with rich eddy-field

Application to TWA to idealized ACC

Idealized Southern Ocean

Spin up:

100 years at 20 km from rest20 years at 10 km interpolated from 10 km15 years at 5 km interpolated from 5 km

Simulation and Analysis:

20 years of simulation sampled every 3 day ocean PDE solver uses 100 levels TWA analysis uses 100 buoyancy levels

Forcing:

zonally-uniform wind stress as shown linear restoring of surface temperature linear restoring of interior temperature at boundaries.

<u>Configuration:</u> 1000 km x 2000 km x 2.5 km includes continental shelf and shelf break. zonally-periodic linear EOS with uniform salinity (surfaces of temperate == surfaces of buoyancy)



A Thickness-Weighted Average Perspective of Force Balance in an Idealized Circumpolar Current Ringler et al., JPO 2017 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0096.1</u> **Thickness-Weighted Averaged (TWA) equations:**

 $\overline{\sigma}_{\tilde{t}} + (\overline{\sigma u})_{\tilde{x}} + (\overline{\sigma v})_{\tilde{y}} + (\overline{\sigma \varpi})_{\tilde{b}} = 0$

 $\zeta + \overline{m}_{\tilde{b}} = 0$

$$\hat{u}_{\tilde{t}} + \hat{\varpi}\hat{u}_{\tilde{b}} - \overline{\sigma}\hat{v}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{x}} = \hat{\mathcal{X}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} \\ \rightarrow \hat{v}_{\tilde{t}} + \hat{\varpi}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma}\hat{u}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} \\ - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} + \hat{\varpi}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma}\hat{u}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} \\ - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} + \hat{\omega}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma}\hat{u}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} \\ - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} + \hat{\omega}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma}\hat{u}\Pi^{\sharp} + \left(\overline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{u}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} \\ - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\tilde{u}} + \hat{\omega}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma}\hat{v}_{\tilde{b}} + \overline{\sigma$$

The advecting velocity is now the thicknessweighted velocity (aka residual mean velocity).

$$\begin{split} u_{\tilde{t}} + \varpi u_{\tilde{b}} - \sigma v \Pi + \left(m + \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}v^2 \right)_{\tilde{x}} &= \mathcal{X} \\ v_{\tilde{t}} + \varpi v_{\tilde{b}} + \sigma u \Pi + \left(m + \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}v^2 \right)_{\tilde{y}} &= \mathcal{Y} \\ \zeta + m_{\tilde{b}} &= 0 \\ \sigma_{\tilde{t}} + (\sigma u)_{\tilde{x}} + (\sigma v)_{\tilde{y}} + (\sigma \varpi)_{\tilde{b}} &= 0 \end{split}$$

 $u_{\tilde{t}} + \varpi u_{\tilde{b}} - \sigma v \Pi + \left(m + \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}$

 $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$: non-conservative forcing

m: Montgomery potential

$$\Pi = rac{f+u_x-v_y}{\sigma}$$
 : potential vorticity $arpi = rac{D ilde{b}}{D ilde{t}}$: diabatic velocity

A Thickness-Weighted Average Perspective of Force Balance in an Idealized Circumpolar Current Ringler et al., JPO 2017 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0096.1</u>

TWA at out-cropped buoyancy layers what to do?



For each sample,

 $\sigma = \zeta_{\tilde{h}}$, is given a value of zero for out-cropped layers.

 $\sigma \mathbf{u}$, is given a value of zero for out-cropped layers.

$$\overline{\sigma} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sigma, \quad \overline{\sigma \mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sigma \mathbf{u}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\overline{\sigma \mathbf{u}}}{\overline{\sigma}}$$

TWA meridional velocity time and zonally averaged



The TWA meridional velocity is in the surface diabatic layer and at the bottom

Almost no TWA meridional velocity in the interior



Nothing below 600m until the bottom boundary layer near 3000m.

The EP fluxes: vertical flux of eastward momentum



The vertical component of eastward momentum flux is dominated by $\overline{\zeta' m'_x}$





 $\zeta' m'_x$ is vertically uniform except in the top and bottom boundary layer: no divergence and no residual velocity except in top and bottom layers

$\overline{\zeta' m'_x}$: form-stress



On a constant buoyancy surface $b = \overline{b}(z) + b'(x, y, z, t) \Longrightarrow \zeta' \approx -\frac{b'}{\overline{b}_z} = -\frac{b'}{N^2}$

Form-stress transfers momentum vertically (or across buoyancies)

Using residual velocities in a prognostic model: cartesian coordinates

$$\hat{u}_t + \hat{u}\hat{u}_x + \hat{v}\hat{u}_y + w^{\sharp}\hat{u}_z - f\hat{v} + p_x^{\sharp} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^u = 0,$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_t + \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_x + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_y + \boldsymbol{w}^{\sharp}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_z + f\hat{\boldsymbol{u}} + \boldsymbol{p}_y^{\sharp} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E}^{\boldsymbol{v}} = 0,$$

(1) Only residual velocity appears.

(2) All tracers are advected by the residual velocity.

(3) Eddy effects are confined to the momentum equations, and appear in EP vectors.

$$p_z^{\sharp} = b^{\sharp},$$

$$\hat{u}_{x} + \hat{v}_{y} + w_{z}^{\sharp} = 0,$$
$$b_{t}^{\sharp} + \mathbf{u}^{\sharp} \cdot \nabla b^{\sharp} = \hat{\varpi}.$$
diabatic effects

The residual
$$\boldsymbol{u}^{\sharp} = \hat{u}\boldsymbol{i} + \hat{v}\boldsymbol{j} + \underbrace{(\bar{z}_t + \hat{u}\bar{z}_x + \hat{v}\bar{z}_y)}_{=w^{\sharp}} \boldsymbol{k}$$

An eulerian observer at (x,y,z,t) is at the mean depth z of some buoyancy surface. This defines

$$b^{\sharp}(x,y,z,t)$$

 $\hat{u} = \frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\overline{\sigma}} \qquad \sigma = \frac{1}{b_z}$

Parametrization of EP fluxes

A model in terms of the TWA fields requires parametrizing the EP fluxes

 $\frac{\overline{\zeta' m'_x}}{\zeta' m'_y} = -\mu \bar{\sigma} \hat{v}_z$ Vertical viscosity of horizontal momentum (Rhines and Young, 1982)

This is equivalent to adding extra velocities to the Coriolis terms such that

$$fv^* \equiv \left(\frac{\overline{\zeta'm'_x}}{\bar{\sigma}}\right)_z \qquad \qquad fu^* \equiv -\left(\frac{\overline{\zeta'm'_y}}{\bar{\sigma}}\right)_z$$

If $\hat{u} \,\, \hat{v}\,\, {\rm are}\,\, {\rm in}\,\, {\rm geostrophic}\,\, {\rm balance,}\, {\rm then}\,\,$

$$(u^*, v^*) = \left(\kappa_a \frac{\nabla \rho^{\sharp}}{\rho_z^{\sharp}}\right)_z$$

With
$$\kappa_a = \mu f^{-2} \bar{\sigma}^{-1} = \mu f^{-2} \bar{N}^2$$

The parametrization for the extra velocity is equivalent to Gent-McWilliams scheme with diffusivity κ_a

Prognostic Residual Mean Flow in an Ocean General Circulation Model and its Relation to Prognostic Eulerian Mean Flow Saenz, J.A. et al. JPO 2017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0024.1</u>

Comparison to a Eulerian model

A model in terms of the **Eulerian** fields requires parametrizing the eddy-fluxes: start with the buoyancy fluxes (no momentum fluxes)

$$\begin{split} &\frac{D\overline{u}^z}{Dt} - f\overline{v}^z + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \overline{R}_x, \\ &\frac{D\overline{v}^z}{Dt} + f\overline{u}^z + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \overline{R}_y, \\ &\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = b, \\ &\frac{\partial(\overline{u}^z + u_*^z)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\overline{v}^z + v_*^z)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial(\overline{w}^z + w_*^z)}{\partial z} = 0, \\ &\frac{Db}{Dt} + u_*^z \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + v_*^z \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + w_*^z \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = 0, \\ &\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \overline{u}^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \overline{v}^z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \overline{w}^z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ &u_*^z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\kappa \frac{\overline{p}_x^z}{\overline{p}_z^z}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad v_*^z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\kappa \frac{\overline{p}_z^z}{\overline{p}_z^z}\right), \end{split}$$

Implementation in a numerical model of the ACC



Residual overturning using TWA model EP fluxes parametrized as vertical viscosity

With
$$\kappa_a=\mu f^{-2}ar{\sigma}^{-1}=\mu f^{-2}ar{N}^2$$

Residual overturning using conventional Eulerian mean, parametrized buoyancy fluxes, assuming $(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) + (u^*, v^*)$

Quantitative agreement, because eddy mom. flux is negligible.

Prognostic Residual Mean Flow in an Ocean General Circulation Model and its Relation to Prognostic Eulerian Mean Flow Saenz, J.A. et al. JPO 2017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0024.1</u>

Summary

Residual mean formalism is very useful to capture the effect of eddy-fluxes on buoyancy transport (and possibly other tracers).

TWA places eddy-effect in EP flux divergence in the momentum equation using a single velocity. Not clear how to get the Eulerian flow (is it needed?)

Not widely implemented yet, but it can and has been done in the ACC setting.

Agrees with parametrization of eddy-fluxes, if confined to buoyancy fluxes.

Not clear how to parametrize all of the EP fluxes (momentum), which are important for jets formation and maintenance.